

### Journal Articles - Conference Proceedings Articles Dissertations - Books & Chapters

From the moderator

Thank you to everyone who contributed to this issue of the ACSA Publications Listing.

The ACSA Publication Listing is a quarterly electronic listing of publications in the field of citizen science within the Australian community. The listing is intended to share information with those interested in the Australian citizen science community.

If you are interested in obtaining a copy of one of the papers below, you can email the lead author who may send you a copy at their discretion.

**Colleen Foelz** 

### Abstracts of recently published journal articles

### Aligning citizen science with best practice: Threatened species conservation in Australia

Rochelle Steven<sup>1</sup>, Megan Barnes<sup>1</sup>, Stephen T. Garnett<sup>2</sup>, Georgia Garrard<sup>3</sup>, James O'Connor<sup>4</sup>, Jessica L Oliver<sup>5</sup>, Cathy Robinson<sup>2,6</sup>, Ayesha Tulloch<sup>1,7,8</sup>, Richard A. Fuller<sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> The University of Queensland, St Lucia, QLD, Australia
- <sup>2</sup> Charles Darwin University, Casuarina, Northwest Territories, Australia
- <sup>3</sup> ICON Science, School of Global, Urban and Social Studies, RMIT University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia
- <sup>4</sup> Birdlife Australia, Carlton, VIC, Australia
- <sup>5</sup> School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, QLD, Australia
- <sup>6</sup> Land and Water, CSIRO, Brisbane, QLD, Australia
- <sup>7</sup> Desert Ecology Research Group, School of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia
- <sup>8</sup> Wildlife Conservation Society, Global Conservation Program, Bronx, New York

Well-designed citizen science projects can improve the capacity of the scientific community to detect and understand declines in threatened species, and with the emergence of frameworks to guide good design, there is an opportunity to test whether projects are aligned with best practice. We assessed the current landscape of citizen science projects for threatened species conservation via a content analysis of the online communique of citizen science projects across Australia. Only 2% of projects stated clear research questions,

although approximately 86% had implied project objectives aimed at threatened species conservation. Most projects were focused on field-based monitoring activities with half using structured ecological survey methods. Most reviewed projects (65%) shared data with open access biodiversity databases and the vast majority use at least one social media platform to communicate with potential and existing participants (up to 81%). Approximately 50% present citizen-sourced data summaries or publications on their websites. Our study shows there is a very strong foundation for public participation in threatened species conservation activities in Australia, yet there is scope to further integrate the principles of citizen science best practice. Improved integration of these principles will likely yield better outcomes for threatened species as well as for the citizen scientists themselves.

Published 14 August 2019 in Conservation Science and Practice doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/csp2.100</u>

#### Social license through citizen science: a tool for marine conservation

Rachel Kelly <sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Aysha Fleming<sup>3,5</sup>, Gretta T. Pecl,<sup>3,4</sup>, Anett Richter<sup>1,2</sup> and Aletta Bonn<sup>1,2,6</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Helmholtz-Center for Environmental Research UFZ, Permoserstraße 15, 04318 Leipzig, Germany
- <sup>2</sup> German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv), Deutscher Platz 5e, D-04103 Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Germany
- <sup>3</sup> Centre for Marine Socioecology, University of Tasmania, Hobart 7001, Tasmania
- <sup>4</sup> Institute for Marine & Antarctic Studies, Hobart, Tasmania 7001, Australia
- <sup>5</sup> CSIRO Land and Water, Castray Esplanade, Hobart, Tasmania 7004, Australia
- <sup>6</sup> Institute of Biodiversity, Friedrich Schiller University Jena, Dornburger Str. 159, 07743 Jena, Germany

Active and meaningful public engagement is necessary to foster informed and publicly accepted natural resource management. Citizen science presents an important avenue by which to achieve such engagement. Citizen science is the active involvement of the public in science to address scientific questions, often of common interest or concern, by collecting and analyzing data, and publishing and communicating science via diverse outlets. Here, we explore whether and how citizen science can also play a role in generating social license for marine conservation, using European marine citizen science as a case study. Social license is a concept that reflects community views and expectations on the use and management of natural resources. To date, social license in the marine space has largely focused on public perceptions of industrial and extractive uses of the marine environment, and limited research has explored social license for conservation. We highlight important linkages between social license and citizen science that can work synergistically to support conservation. We use indepth qualitative interviews and a semiquantitative online survey of marine citizen science coordinators to investigate how citizen science can play a role in enhancing social license and the mechanisms through which it can occur. Our findings indicate that citizen science can enhance social license by improving ocean literacy and marine citizenship. We demonstrate that marine citizen science has considerable potential to generate and develop social license for marine conservation in Europe and elsewhere.

Published March 2019 in Ecology and Society, 24(1): 16 doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-10704-240116</u>

### Citizen science and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals

Steffen Fritz<sup>1</sup>, Linda See<sup>1</sup>, Tyler Carlson<sup>2</sup>, Mordechai Haklay<sup>3</sup>, Jessie L. Oliver<sup>4,5</sup>, Dilek Fraisl<sup>1,6</sup>, Rosy Mondardini<sup>7</sup>, Martin Brocklehurst<sup>8,9</sup>, Lea A. Shanley<sup>10</sup>, Sven Schade<sup>11</sup>, Uta Wehn<sup>12</sup>, Tommaso Abrate<sup>13</sup>, Janet Anstee<sup>5,14</sup>, Stephan Arnold<sup>15</sup>, Matthew Billot<sup>16</sup>, Jillian Campbell<sup>16</sup>, Jessica Espey<sup>17</sup>, Margaret Gold<sup>9</sup>, Gerid Hager<sup>1</sup>, Shan He<sup>18</sup>, Libby Hepburn<sup>5</sup>, Angel Hsu<sup>19</sup>, Deborah Long<sup>20,21</sup>, Joan Masó<sup>22</sup>, Ian McCallum<sup>1</sup>, Maina Muniafu<sup>23</sup>, Inian Moorthy<sup>1</sup>, Michael Obersteiner<sup>1</sup>, Alison J. Parker<sup>24</sup>, Maike Weissplug<sup>25</sup> and Sarah West<sup>26</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Ecosystem Services and Management Program, International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Laxenburg, Austria
- <sup>2</sup> School of Resource and Environmental Management, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada
- <sup>3</sup> University College London, London, UK
- <sup>4</sup> School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia
- <sup>5</sup> Australian Citizen Science Association, c/o Faculty of Science, Partner Engagement and Outreach, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
- <sup>6</sup> University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna, Austria
- <sup>7</sup> Competence Center Citizen Science, ETH Zurich and University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
- <sup>8</sup> Citizen Science Global Partnership, Washington, DC, USA
- <sup>9</sup> European Citizen Science Association, Museum für Naturkunde Berlin, Berlin, Germany
- <sup>10</sup> Nelson Institute, University of Wisconsin at Madison, Madison, WI, USA
- <sup>11</sup> European Commission, Joint Research Center, Ispra, Italy
- <sup>12</sup> IHE Delft Institute for Water Education, Delft, The Netherlands
- <sup>13</sup> World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, Switzerland
- <sup>14</sup> Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia
- <sup>15</sup> Federal Statistical Office Destatis, Wiesbaden, Germany
- <sup>16</sup> UN Environment Programme, Nairobi, Kenya
- <sup>17</sup> United Nations Sustainable Development Solutions Network, New York, NY, USA
- <sup>18</sup> CitizenScience.Asia, Hong Kong, China
- <sup>19</sup> Yale-NUS College, Singapore, Singapore
- <sup>20</sup> University of Dundee, Dundee, UK
- <sup>21</sup> Scottish Environment LINK, Perth, UK
- <sup>22</sup> Grumets Research Group, CREAF, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain
- <sup>23</sup> African Citizen Science Association, Nairobi, Kenya
- <sup>24</sup> Woodrow Wilson Center, Washington, DC, USA
- <sup>25</sup> Museum für Naturkunde Berlin, Berlin, Germany
- <sup>26</sup> Stockholm Environment Institute, University of York, York, UK

Traditional data sources are not sufficient for measuring the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals. New and non-traditional sources of data are required. Citizen science is an emerging example of a non-traditional data source that is already making a contribution. In this Perspective, we present a roadmap that outlines how citizen science can be integrated into the formal Sustainable Development Goals reporting mechanisms. Success will require leadership from the United Nations, innovation from National Statistical Offices and focus from the citizen-science community to identify the indicators for which citizen science can make a real contribution.

Published 18 October 2019 in Nature Sustainability, 2: 922-930 doi: <u>http://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-019-0390-3</u>

#### Identifying technology solutions to bring conservation into the innovation era

Gwenllian Iacona<sup>1</sup>, Anurag Ramachandra<sup>2</sup>, Jennifer McGowan<sup>1,3</sup>, Alasdair Davies<sup>4</sup>, Lucas Joppa<sup>5</sup>, Lian Pin Koh<sup>2,6</sup>, Eric Fegraus<sup>2</sup>, Edward Game<sup>7</sup>, Gurutzeta Guillera-Arroita<sup>8</sup>, Rob Harcourt<sup>3</sup>, Karlina Indraswari<sup>9</sup>, José J Lahoz-Monfort<sup>8</sup>, Jessica L Oliver<sup>9</sup>, Hugh P Possingham<sup>7,10</sup>, Adrian Ward<sup>11</sup>, David W Watson<sup>12</sup>, James EM Watson<sup>11,13</sup>, Brendan A Wintle<sup>8</sup> and Iadine Chadès<sup>10,14</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Centre of Excellence for Environmental Decisions, School of Biological Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia
- <sup>2</sup> Conservation International, Arlington, VA, United States
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, Macquarie University, North Ryde, Australia
- <sup>4</sup> Zoological Society of London, London, UK
- <sup>5</sup> Microsoft, Redmond, WA, United States
- <sup>6</sup> School of Biological Sciences, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia
- <sup>7</sup> The Nature Conservancy, South Brisbane, Australia
- <sup>8</sup> School of BioSciences, The University of Melbourne Parkville, Australia
- <sup>9</sup> School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia
- <sup>10</sup> Centre of Excellence for Environmental Decisions, School of Biological Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia
- <sup>11</sup> School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia
- <sup>12</sup> Institute for Land, Water and Society, Charles Sturt University, Albury, Australia
- <sup>13</sup> Wildlife Conservation Society, Global Conservation Program, New York, NY
- <sup>14</sup> Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia

Innovation has the potential to enable conservation science and practice to keep pace with the escalating threats to global biodiversity, but this potential will only be realized if such innovations are designed and developed to fulfill specific needs and solve well-defined conservation problems. We propose that business-world strategies for assessing the practicality of innovation can be applied to assess the viability of innovations, such as new technology, for addressing biodiversity conservation challenges. Here, we outline a five-step, "lean start-up" based approach for considering conservation innovation from a business-planning perspective. Then, using three prominent conservation initiatives – Marxan (software), Conservation Drones (technology support), and Mataki (wildlife-tracking devices) – as case studies, we show how considering proposed initiatives from the perspective of a conceptual business model can support innovative technologies in achieving desired conservation outcomes.

Published 2 October 2019 in Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.2111</u>

## Citizen science and social licence: Improving perceptions and connecting marine user groups

#### Rachel Kelly<sup>1,2</sup>, Aysha Fleming<sup>2,3</sup> and Gretta T. Pecl,<sup>1,2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Institute for Marine & Antarctic Studies, Hobart, Tasmania 7001, Australia
- <sup>2</sup> Centre for Marine Socioecology, University of Tasmania, Hobart 7001, Tasmania
- <sup>3</sup> CSIRO Land and Water, Castray Esplanade, Hobart, Tasmania 7004, Australia

Marine stakeholder groups have diverse relationships with the ocean and life within it, which can create conflict and distrust between them. Citizen science and social licence present

promising means to develop dialogue between these diverse marine stakeholders and improve outcomes for marine management. Citizen science can be defined as public engagement in scientific research and activities and amongst other benefits, has been demonstrated to improve communication and relationships amongst resource management and stakeholder groups. Social licence is a concept that reflects unwritten permission from the public for others to use and manage natural resources, and has become an important theme for development in the marine realm. We explore a case-study of the marine citizen science programme Redmap Australia, utilising a mixed-methods approach to understand community perceptions of other marine user groups. We explore how marine users legitimise one another, and how this relates to building relationships and developing social licence. Our results show that participation in citizen science can allow users to display their marine citizenship and shared concern about the marine environment, and that this can allow them to earn trust from other user groups. We conclude that participation in citizen science improves perceptions of trustworthiness and can enhance social licence for marine user groups, with positive implications for marine and coastal management. These outcomes provide fruitful insights on marine resource user groups' perceptions that can help to advise future developments in the growing fields of citizen science practice and citizen science research.

Published 2 October 2019 in Ocean & Coastal Management, 178 doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2019.104855</u>

## A Call for International Leadership and Coordination to Realize the Potential of Conservation Technology

José J Lahoz-Monfort1, Iadine Chadès2, Alasdair Davies3, Eric Fegraus4, Edward Game5, Gurutzeta Guillera-Arroita1, Robert Harcourt6, Karlina Indraswari7, Jennifer McGowan5,6,8, Jessica L Oliver7, Johannes Refisch1,8,9, Jonathan Rhodes10, Paul Roe7, Alex Rogers11, Adrian Ward12, David M Watson13, James E M Watson1,10,14, Brendan A Wintle1 and Lucas Joppa15

- <sup>1</sup> School of BioSciences, The University of Melbourne Parkville, Australia
- <sup>2</sup> Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Dutton Park, Queensland, Australia
- <sup>3</sup> Zoological Society of London, Regent's Park, London, NW1 4RY, United Kingdom
- <sup>4</sup> 2011 Crystal Drive, Suite 600, Arlington, VA 22202, United Kingdom
- <sup>5</sup> The Nature Conservancy, South Brisbane, Australia
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, Macquarie University, North Ryde, Australia
- <sup>7</sup> School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia
- <sup>8</sup> Centre of Excellence for Environmental Decisions, School of Biological Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia
- <sup>9</sup> Great Apes Survival Partnership, UN Environment, P.O. Box 30552, 00100 Nairobi, Kenya
- <sup>10</sup> School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia
- <sup>11</sup> Department of Computer Science, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom
- <sup>12</sup> Wentworth Group of Concerned Scientists, 95 Pitt St, Sydney NSW 2000, Australia
- <sup>13</sup> Institute for Land, Water and Society, Charles Sturt University, Albury, Australia
- <sup>14</sup> Wildlife Conservation Society, Global Conservation Program, New York, NY
- <sup>15</sup> Microsoft, Redmond, WA, United States

Advancing technology represents an unprecedented opportunity to enhance our capacity to conserve the Earth's biodiversity. However, this great potential is failing to materialize and rarely endures. We contend that unleashing the power of technology for conservation requires an internationally coordinated strategy that connects the conservation community and policy-makers with technologists. We argue an international conservation technology entity could (1) provide vision and leadership, (2) coordinate and deliver key services necessary to ensure

translation from innovation to effective deployment and use of technology for on-the-ground conservation across the planet, and (3) help integrate innovation into biodiversity conservation policy from local to global scales, providing tools to monitor outcomes of conservation action and progress towards national and international biodiversity targets. This proposed entity could take the shape of an international alliance of conservation institutions or a formal intergovernmental institution. Active and targeted uptake of emerging technology can help society achieve biodiversity conservation goals.

Published 4 September 2019 in BioScience, 69, 10: 823-832 doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biz090</u>

### Abstracts of recently published Conference Papers

# Listening to Save Wildlife: Lessons Learnt from Use of Acoustic Technology by a Species Recovery Team

Jessica L Oliver<sup>1</sup>, Margot Brereton<sup>1</sup>, David M. Watson<sup>2</sup>, Paul Roe<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, QLD, Australia

<sup>2</sup> Charles Sturt University, Albury Wodonga, NSW, Australia

An increasing variety of technologies are being developed to support conservation of endangered wildlife; however, comparatively little attention has been devoted to their design. We undertook three years of ethnographic fieldwork and design research with the recovery team of an endangered Australian bird (the Eastern bristlebird) to explore the team's culture and practices, as well as their perspectives on including collection and analysis of environmental acoustic recordings into their conservation praxis. Through thematic analysis, we identified the team's collective goals, culture, conservation activities, and technology use. We found that acoustic technologies have promise for supporting conservation of furtive and vocal Eastern bristlebirds. Trialing acoustic technologies also revealed that the team had strong interest in their use. We identified knowledge gaps, time constraints, and technology aversion as barriers to be overcome with future interaction design research. We offer an initial set of practical guidelines for designing technologies to support conservation.

Published in Proceedings of the 2019 on Designing Interactive Systems Conference: 1335-1348

doi: https://doi.org/10.1145/3322276.3322360

# Modelling Workflows for More-than-Human Design: Prosthetic Habitats for the Powerful Owl (*Ninox strenua*)

Stanislav Roudavski<sup>1</sup>, Dan Parker<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

Anthropogenic degradation of the environment is pervasive and expanding. Human construction activities destroy or damage habitats of nonhuman lifeforms. In many cases, artificial replacement habitats become necessary. However, designing for the needs and

preferences of nonhuman lifeforms is challenging. Established workflows for this type of designing do not exist. This paper hypothesises that a multi-scale modelling approach can support inclusive, more-than-human design. The case-study project tests this approach by applying computational modelling to the design of prosthetic habitats for the powerful owl (*Ninox strenua*). The proposed approach simulates owls' perception of the city based on scientific evidence. The tools include algorithmic mapping, 3D-scanning, generative modelling, digital fabrication and augmented-reality assembly. Outcomes establish techniques for urban-scale planning, site selection, tree-scale fitting, and nest-scale formmaking. The findings demonstrate that computational modelling can (1) inform more-thanhuman design and (2) guide scientific data collection for more inclusive ecosystem management.

Published Online 29 August 2019 in Design Modelling Symposium Berlin, Impact: Design With All Senses: 554-564 doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-29829-6\_43

Abstracts of recently published dissertations